**Evaluating Peer’s Web Application**

**Due 11:59pm -** **Friday April 24, 2020**

For this assignment, you are going to evaluate **two** of your peers’ websites using the “Resource for Web Design Guidelines” that we have shared in week 3, and all that you have studied so far. For each of your peer’s website, you need to create a document. Please note that the deadline is on Friday (April 24) and not Tuesday.

**Instructions:**

1. Download PeerEvalutaion\_2\_WebApplication\_Asssignmnet.docx.
2. Find your peers (including their email and URL), whose website you need to evaluate on the Canvas page called “Your peers for Peer Evaluation 2” (in the project module). They are from your new study group.
3. Save the document as **Your*Lastname-Peer1Lastname-WebApplicationEvaluation.docx*** *and* **Your*Lastname-Peer2Lastname-WebApplicationEvaluation.docx***
4. In each of these document, fill out your and peer’s information and the table. The left most column of the table has the criteria for evaluating a website. You need to fill out the other three columns as per the column headings. Fill out your observation with specific information and examples. Provide constructive feedback using professional language. The feedback should be meaningful so that it can help your peer to improve their website.
5. After you have evaluated both the websites, do the following two things:
   1. Upload both the two documents on **Canvas** at the **PeerEvaluation\_1\_ Assignment\_Submission Link**
   2. **Email each of the document to the corresponding peers.** (Example: If I am evaluating Niner’s website, I will email **Basu-Niner-WebDesignEvaluation.docx** *to only Niner and not the other peer.*)

**Your name:**

**Your email id:**

**Email id of your peer:**

**Peer’s website URL:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | Explain your observation/s on how the given website meets/does not meets the criterion? | Rate with a scale of 1 through 5 (1- needs major improvement, 2- needs some improvement, 3- fine/can be improved, 4- good/ can be polished, 5- very good) | Specific suggestion/s for improvements or meeting the criterion |
| Effective home page with clear title, purpose and content |  |  |  |
| Adequate whitespace on each webpage |  |  |  |
| Quality of the layout consistent and appealing. Easy to locate important elements. Alignment are used effectively to organize material. |  |  |  |
| Consistent header and footer sections across the web site |  |  |  |
| Graphics/images are related to the theme/purpose of the site, are thoughtfully cropped, are of high quality and enhance reader interest or understanding. |  |  |  |
| Color contrast and color styles consistent across the webpages. Aesthetic color scheme that matches purpose of the site. |  |  |  |
| Follow web writing guidelines including consistency of text formatting across pages |  |  |  |
| Navbar is visible on home page, consistent across site and on all pages. There is visible indication of user location in site and does not detract from readability. |  |  |  |
| Appropriate content on each page that meets the purpose of the application. |  |  |  |
| All links, images, videos functional |  |  |  |
| Easy to use the website |  |  |  |
| It has multiple interactive features |  |  |  |
| Anything you want to add \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |  |  |  |